Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	File No. EB-06-TC-4518
Mechanicsville Telephone Company)	NAL/Acct. No. 200732170041
Apparent Liability for Forfeiture)	FRN: 0003748340

ORDER

Adopted: September 30, 2010 Released: October 1, 2010

By the Assistant Division Chief, Telecommunications Consumers Division, Enforcement Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, which follows upon our *Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture* ("NAL"), we determine that no forfeiture penalty should be imposed on Mechanicsville Telephone Company ("Mechanicsville Telephone").
- 2. On July 19, 2007, the Enforcement Bureau issued an NAL in the amount of \$100,000 to Mechanicsville Telephone for its apparent violation of the Commission's rules by failing to prepare and maintain a CPNI certification that complies with section 64.2009(e). Consistent with section 503(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Mechanicsville Telephone was granted an opportunity to show, in writing, why no such forfeiture should be imposed.
- 3. In response to the NAL, Mechanicsville Telephone provided evidence that it was in compliance with the Commission's CPNI rules. Accordingly, we find that no forfeiture should be imposed.
- 4. **ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and sections 0.111, 0.311, and 1.80(f)(4) of the Commission's rules, the proposed forfeiture in the NAL **WILL NOT BE IMPOSED.**

_

¹ In the Matter of Mechanicsville Telephone Company, Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, 22 FCC Rcd 13126 (2007) ("NAL").

² *Id*.

5. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this Order shall be sent by First Class Mail and Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested to Mechanicsville Telephone Company to its address of record.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Kimberly A. Wild Assistant Division Chief Telecommunications Consumers Division Enforcement Bureau